Page 1 of 2

AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	District of Delaware
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
	V. Alejandro Lopez-Lopez Defendant ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL Case O7-197 Case
	cordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the of the defendant pending trial in this case.
	Part I—Findings of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a
(3)	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternative Findings (A)
. ,	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
	the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
(1)	Alternative Findings (B)
. /	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Part II-Written Statement of Reatons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence X a preponderance of the evidence: Defendant is charge with illegal re-entry into the US. He did not oppose detention at this time but reserved the right to do so in the future which was granted. Defendant's criminal history is minimal (primarily traffic violations), but he has been ordered for expedited removal on three occassions and it is known that he was deported twice. He has used an alias. On May 19, 2005 he was found illegally in the US and deported. He was again found illegally in US 5 months later in October 2005 and deported. He admitted that he was a citizen of Mexico, his previous deportations and his failure to obtain permission for admission to the US.



Part III-Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

October 19, 2007

Date

Signature of Judicial Officer

Mary Pat Thinge, Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer



^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).